



## **DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY**

U.S. ARMY TRANSPORTATION CENTER FORT EUSTIS \_VIRGINIA 23604-5000

July 12, 1994



Directorate of Public Works

SUBJECT: DEQ Tracking Number: PC 94-2275, Wash Rack at LARC 60 Area

LeAnn Moran Virginia Department of Environmental Quality Water Regional Office 287 Pembroke Office Park Pembroke 2, Suite 310 Virginia Beach, Virginia 23462

Dear Ms. Moran:

Enclosed is the Site Characterization Report and Checklist for the LARC 60 Wash Rack at Fort Story. If you have any questions, please contact Mr. Dan Musel at (804) 878-4123.

Sincerely,

Stephen A. McCall

Chief, Environmental and

**Natural Resources Division** 

Enclosure

Copy Furnished:

DEQ, Waste Division, Mr. Scott McMillian



# ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION COMPANY

### SITE CHARACTERIZATION REPORT

on

80th Division LARC 60 Area Fort Story, Virginia

prepared for

Directorate of Public Works
Environmental and Natural Resources Division
Fort Eustis, Virginia

prepared by

ENVIRONMENTAL RESTORATION COMPANY 9700 Ashley Dawn Court Fredericksburg, Virginia 22408 (703) 898-5616

date

June 28, 1994

ERC #4418

# SITE CHARACTERIZATION REPORT CHECKLIST

Site: Fort Story, 80th Div. LARC 60 PC# 94-2275 Region TRO

The following checklist must be filled out by the Responsible Party (RP) and/or the RP's Consultant and included in the Site Characterization Report. Indicate on the checklist the page and section number where each item is addressed in the attached report. Also indicate on the checklist the section and page number where justification is given for items omitted from the attached report. The contents of the report should reflect and be commensurate with the nature of the release, degree of contamination and complexity of the site investigation.

A copy of the <u>Initial Abatement Measures Report</u> nust be attached to or included in the <u>Site Characterization Report</u>.

Items marked with an \* are required as part of the CAP Permit Application. •

#### 1. SITE ASSESSMENT

Page /Section
1 / 1 3 ephysical and chemical properties of released product
1 / 1 / From Product Removal Report
4 / 1.6 Geologic/hydrogeologic site information
. / · / · Cita #661600
$\frac{4}{4}$ /1.6.2 Subsurface conditions (fractures, solution cavities,
lenses, depth to ground water)
lenses, depth to growing record
5 /1.6.3 Pumping/injection wells 5 /1.6.4 Drillers/geologic logs and construction details for
5 /1.6.4 Drillers/geologic logs and conscious desails los
all wells and boreholes
5 /1.6.5 Aquifer characteristics
ς /ικς Mame
ς /1 6 ς Thickness
5 /1 6 5 CONQUETIVITY
5 /1.6.5. Transmissivity
, 5 /1 6 5 RVdPaulic gradient
1 1 Y 2 WIDA ASTOCICALATTECCTOR
TE 11 ( E TURNOSAN AGIC CYOSS SPCCION
6 / 1.7 Information as to water resources within 1000 ft of site
(valle enrings SUTTACE Valler)
8 / 18 Today at the adjacent property owners and potentially
affected ground and surface water users (names, acutesses,
telephone numbers)
8 / 1.9 Information on historical releases at the site as well as
historical releases from USTs located on adjacent property
8 / 1.10 Construction information on potentially affected wells
a / 1 12 Description of Vertical and lateral extent of contamination
a / 1 12 Free product phase
q /1 12 2Dissolved phase
11 /1.12.3Residual phase
12 /1.12.4 Vapor phase

SCR Checklist Page 2 of 4

 $\frac{12}{12}$ / $\frac{1.12.5}{1.12.6}$ Sampling/monitoring results

NOTE: All lab sheets and tables submitted in SCR must have sample media, analytical method used, detection limit method, unit of measure, sample depths, and sample locations. Sampling results from BTEX analysis must be reported individually and totaled.

Site maps/sketches (combine when appropriate and to scale when possible)  $\frac{2^{1}}{1.1}$  Locus map on 7 1/2 min. quad. or county highway map 3 2 /1.1 \*Base map with property lines and physical features (buildings, roads, etc.) 2 /1.1 \*Location of source(s) of contamination at site  $\frac{1}{2}/\frac{1.1}{1.1}$ , Sample locations (water, vapor, and/or soil) NA / Excavation pits 2 /Ex:Sm Surficial soils NA / 1.7 Surface waters P. 4 NA / 16.2 Basements/conduits (and/or soil vapor surveys) 3 2/Ex.Sm. Monitoring wells % NA / 1.10 Domestic wells
% NA / 1.10 Public supply wells 86 NA / 17 Springs 2,3 /Ex.Sm.Boring locations 23 / Ex. Sm Observation well locations 3 /1.6.5. Ground water flow direction map RY NA / 16.1 Subsurface conduits (telephone, water, sever, power, dispenser piping) 9.6,9.8 NA / 1.10 \*Potentially affected wells/streams/springs P.4 NA / 1.6.1 \*Flood plain designation  $\frac{5}{1.12.5}$  Isoconcentration or plume delineation map for each affected aquifer and/or soil zone for all phases present (cross-sectional and map view) NA /1.12.1Free product 5 /1.12.2Dissolved 2 /1.12.3Residual 2 /1.12.4 Vapor

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

COMMENTS:	 <del></del>		
	<del></del>		
		·	
	 	<del> <u> </u></del>	
DEFICIENCIES:			
121 20121101201			

SCR Checklist Page 3 of 4

2.	RISK	Assessment	,
----	------	------------	---

12 /2.1	Description of demographics (population)
$\frac{12}{12}/\frac{2.2}{}$	Impacted and potentially impacted receptant ( impacted receptant)
	a * hama a*a \
13 / 2.3	Frosure pathways for receptors
13	P) 3 L INDESCION
12	19 3 9 nermal contact
13	/2.3.3 Inhalation
13	72.3.4 Other
	Exposure levels for receptors  / 2.4.1 Exposure level determination
14	$-\frac{2.4.1 \text{ Exposure Tever december}}{14} \frac{12.4.1.1 \text{ Tap water sample}}{14}$
	1/ /2 / 1 3 Surface Water Sample
	14 /2.4.1.40VA and location of measurement
	14 /2.4.1.5 Extrapolation
1/. / 2.5	The second of th
14 / 2.5	Evaluation of existing/potential state of exposure) contaminant levels, exposure levels, frequency of exposure)
15 / 2.6	
15 / 2.7	Evaluation/provision of alternate water supply
	POR OFFICE USE ONLY
COMMENTS:	
<u> </u>	
<del></del>	
DEFICIEN	TFC.
DET LULAN	
<del></del>	
• _	
	4
<del></del>	

### 3. REMEDIATION ASSESSMENT 15 / 3.1 Remediation feasibility $\frac{16}{10}$ Projected remediation endpoints based on site, risk, and remediation assessments 16 / 3.2.1 Free product 16/3.2.2 Dissolved 16. / 3.2.3 Residual 16 / 3.2.4 Vapor 16 / 3.3 Description & evaluation of applicable technologies $\frac{17}{17}$ / 3.3 Pesign for each applicable technology $\frac{18}{18}$ /3.3.2 Timeframe for implementation and duration for each applicable technology to achieve projected remediation endpoints / 3.3. Projected cost for each applicable technology to achieve projected remediation endpoints /3.3.4 Achievable endpoints for each applicable technology 19 19 A.3.4 Free product 19 B.3.4.2 Dissolved 19 B.3.4.3 Residual 19 B.3.4.4 Vapor 3.3.5 Estimated timeframe for achieving endpoints for each applicable technology 20 /3.3.5 Free product $\frac{20}{3.3.5}$ Dissolved $\frac{20}{3.3.5}$ Residual 20 / 3.3.5 Vapor $\sqrt{3.3}$ Immediate/future beneficial results for each applicable technology 20 / 3.4 Recommendation of most appropriate technologies with costs \*\*\* / Site Characterization Report submitted within 45 days of release confirmation or \*extension granted \*\* \*\*\* DEQ letter dated April 13, 1994 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY COMMENTS: DEFICIENCIES: DATE: EVIEWED BY: